

Fractional Superstrings with Critical Spacetime Dimensions Four and Six: A Status Report*

Keith R. Dienes[†]

*Department of Physics
McGill University
3600 University St.
Montréal, Québec H3A-2T8 Canada*

Abstract

We provide a short non-technical survey of the fundamental issues involved in the recently-proposed fractional superstring theories. After introducing the basic ideas which underlie these new string theories, we review their early successes and outline some related outstanding questions.

*Talk presented at the *International Workshop on String Theory, Quantum Gravity, and the Unification of the Fundamental Interactions*, held in Rome, Italy, 21-26 September 1992; to appear in Proceedings published by World Scientific.

[†]E-mail address: dien@hep.physics.mcgill.ca

1 Fractional Superstrings: The Basic Ideas

Fractional superstrings are non-trivial generalizations of ordinary superstrings and heterotic strings, and have critical spacetime dimensions which are less than ten. In this paper, I aim to provide a self-contained introduction to these new string theories, giving an overview of the entire field as it currently stands rather than focusing exclusively on any particular aspect. The first section of this paper, therefore, will be entirely non-technical, and will summarize the basic idea behind the fractional superstrings as well as their early successes and some related outstanding issues. The second section will then provide more details concerning the construction of the fractional-superstring worldsheet theories, their partition functions, and their various possible spacetime interpretations.

1.1 Motivation: Why new string theories?

There have been, historically, a variety of different classes of string theories which have been constructed and analyzed. The *bosonic string* is characterized by a conformal symmetry on the worldsheet, and contains worldsheet fields which are the coordinate bosons X^μ . The critical dimension of this theory, however, is 26, and the theory suffers from spacetime tachyons and the absence of spacetime fermions. The *superstring* augments the conformal symmetry on the worldsheet by adding a worldsheet supersymmetry (resulting in a superconformal symmetry), and has worldsheet fields which are the bosons X^μ and their fermionic superpartners ψ^μ . While this removes the spacetime tachyons, gives rise to spacetime fermions, and causes the critical dimension to fall to 10, it is not clear how to incorporate gauge groups into this theory in a natural manner or obtain a reasonable spacetime phenomenology. The (closed) *heterotic string*, on the other hand, contains a superconformal worldsheet symmetry for the right-movers and a conformal symmetry for the left-movers. Although the critical dimension of this theory remains 10, gauge groups appear in a natural manner and indeed realistic low-energy phenomenologies can emerge. Thus the heterotic string has proven to be a fruitful starting point in string-theoretic model-building. Even though the critical spacetime dimension of the heterotic string is 10, this can be overcome by either *compactifying* the six undesired dimensions (*e.g.*, on a variety of different orbifolds or Calabi-Yau manifolds) or *replacing* them with alternative but “equivalent” conformal field theories (*e.g.*, those involving a sufficient number of free bosons or fermions to achieve conformal anomaly cancellation).

The problem with this dimensional-reduction “solution,” from a phenomenological point of view, is that there are *many* different ways of passing from the critical dimension $D_c = 10$ to $D = 4$, and each of these thus gives rise to a different model-construction procedure yielding an entirely new class of models. This great freedom in constructing models would not in itself be a problem, of course, were it not for the fact that each resulting model has a unique spacetime phenomenology, with its own

set of particles, gauge groups, and interactions. Thus, the physical properties of the resulting theory depend crucially on the particular model chosen. Even this would not be a problem, however, were it not for the observation that the original choice is completely arbitrary: string theory, as presently formulated, leaves all of these choices equally likely, classically degenerate in the space of possible string field theory vacua. While this degeneracy might hopefully be lifted by string-field-theoretic effects, such a string field theory has yet to be formulated.

Our goal, then, is clear. Since the source of this problem was the great freedom in passing from the critical dimension to the actual spacetime dimension, we would like to construct new types of string theories which have *critical* spacetime dimension $D_c = 4$. We would then have no need for compactification, have fewer extra degrees of freedom to arbitrarily choose or adjust, and might possibly be able to construct a truly unique string theory directly in four dimensions. There are also, however, less phenomenological reasons for attempting to construct such theories. String theories are thought to embody a symmetry principle that is a generalization of the usual field-theory notion of gauge invariance to include higher-spin fields and non-linear interactions. Our understanding of these spacetime string symmetries remains sketchy, however, since their connection to structures and symmetries on the worldsheet is as yet somewhat mysterious. Another motivation, then, for searching for new such string theories is to possibly shed light on this connection between worldsheet and spacetime physics.

1.2 The Fractional Superstring

How might we proceed to construct theories with smaller critical dimensions? We already have some indications: we saw that if there is *no* worldsheet supersymmetry (as in the bosonic string), the critical dimension is $D_c = 26$, whereas if we add a single worldsheet supersymmetry (as in the super- or heterotic string), the critical dimension falls to $D_c = 10$. A natural guess, then, is to increase the symmetry on the worldsheet. However, one finds that putting an *additional* supersymmetry on the worldsheet yields a theory with $D_c = 2$,* whereas the addition of extra worldsheet supersymmetries turns out not to further change the critical dimension. Thus, it is clear that we need something intermediate – a *fractional* supersymmetry. It turns out that such fractional supersymmetries can indeed be formulated on the two-dimensional worldsheet, and the strings based on such worldsheet symmetries are called “fractional superstrings”.

We can thus add a new type of string to the previous list: the fractional superstring. The worldsheet symmetry of this theory is therefore the usual conformal symmetry plus a fractional supersymmetry, together forming what is called a fractional superconformal symmetry. Correspondingly, the worldsheet fields in this theory consist not only of the bosons X^μ , but also their *fractional* superpartners, the

* These are actually two *complex* dimensions, implying a 4D spacetime with $(2, 2)$ signature.

*para*fermions ϵ^μ . These parafermionic fields have fractional spin on the worldsheet, but we stress that in two dimensions such fields are allowed; in particular, the presence of such fields on the worldsheet does not necessarily imply the appearance of fractional-spin particles in spacetime. Constructing such theories, then, we find that their critical spacetime dimensions are indeed less than ten, and the precise value depends on the fraction chosen for the supersymmetry. In particular, it turns out that we can parametrize this fraction by an integer $K \geq 2$ (the degenerate case $K = 1$ corresponds to the absence of any supersymmetry). The special case $K = 2$ corresponds to ordinary supersymmetry, which, we recall, transforms a worldsheet boson X of spin zero into a worldsheet fermion ψ of spin $\Delta(\psi) = 1/2$ via the action of a supercurrent of the form $J \sim \psi \partial X$ with spin $1 + \Delta(\psi) = 3/2$. The cases with $K > 2$ then correspond to our fractional supersymmetries: for each such K , these symmetries transform a worldsheet boson X of spin zero into a so-called “ $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion” ϵ of spin $\Delta(\epsilon) = 2/(K + 2)$ via the action of a worldsheet fractional supercurrent of the form $J \sim \epsilon \partial X + \dots$ with spin $1 + \Delta(\epsilon)$. Given this parametrization, then, one finds the corresponding critical spacetime dimensions:

$$D_c = \begin{cases} 26 & \text{for } K = 1 \\ 2 + 16/K & \text{for } K \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

We therefore have the following cases with integer values of K and D_c :

$$\begin{aligned} K = 1 : & & D_c = 26 \\ K = 2 : & & D_c = 10, \quad \text{spin}(\psi) = 1/2 \\ K = 4 : & & D_c = 6, \quad \text{spin}(\epsilon) = 1/3 \\ K = 8 : & & D_c = 4, \quad \text{spin}(\epsilon) = 1/5 \\ K = 16 : & & D_c = 3, \quad \text{spin}(\epsilon) = 1/9. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Given these fractional supersymmetries, it is possible to generate many new classes of fractional superstring theories with various critical spacetime dimensions. Since we are considering only closed strings, the left- and right-moving symmetries need not be the same, and thus we can label our (K_L, K_R) theories according to the fractions of their left- and right-moving supersymmetries. In this new language, we see that the ordinary bosonic string is simply the $(1, 1)$ special case of the fractional superstring, the ordinary superstring (*e.g.*, the Type II string) is simply the $(2, 2)$ special case, and the ordinary heterotic string can be identified simply as the $(1, 2)$ string. The more general (K_L, K_R) strings, however, will have critical spacetime dimensions less than ten. In particular, the (K, K) strings have the same fractional supersymmetry for the left- and right-moving sectors, and are the natural generalizations of the closed superstring. Similarly, the $(1, K)$ strings are the natural generalizations of the heterotic string.

1.3 Overview of Results and Open Questions

Since the original fractional-superstring proposal [1], there has been much progress in attempting to demonstrate the self-consistency of these new string theories and in understanding much of the new physics they contain [2–7]. We shall defer a detailed examination of some of these issues to Sect. 2, and instead now proceed to give a quick overview of the results achieved as well as a brief discussion of the many issues which remain to be completely resolved.

There have been a number of early successes which indicate that the fractional superstring is likely to be a consistent theory both on the worldsheet and in space-time. First, of course, this approach provides a natural generalization of the more traditional string theories, establishing (via the use of two-dimensional fractional supersymmetries and parafermions) a uniform framework for examining a variety of different string theories [1, 2]. Secondly, as mentioned, these strings have critical spacetime dimensions which are less than ten, and in particular the phenomenologically interesting dimensions $D_c = 4, 6$ are allowed values. Third, the low-lying spacetime spectra of these theories have been examined in some detail [4], and indeed for each relevant value of K one finds a tachyon-free spectrum containing a graviton, gauge bosons, and massless spacetime fermions; indeed, these latter spacetime spinorial states satisfy the Dirac equation by virtue of a parafermion zero-mode Clifford algebra, in complete analogy to what occurs in the ordinary superstring. Fourth, it appears that these new string theories have a *spacetime* supersymmetry [3]: this symmetry appears naturally in these theories, evidenced by equal numbers of spacetime bosonic and fermionic states at all mass levels, and is consistent with the appearance of massless spin-3/2 particles (gravitinos). Fifth, it has been possible to construct modular-invariant partition functions for these theories which are consistent with each of the above properties [1, 2], and these in turn have been used profitably to obtain much insight into some of the more difficult and unique aspects of these theories [3, 5, 7]. Sixth, promising first steps towards fractional-superstring *model-building* have been taken [2], and as expected one finds that the set of $K > 2$ models is indeed orders of magnitude smaller than the analogous set of $K = 2$ models. These successes are all, therefore, signs that the fractional superstring theories will ultimately prove both consistent and fruitful. In fact, work in this area has even led to results which are independent of fractional superstrings *per se*, such as whole classes of new identities relating the characters of $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermions for different values of K [3, 5, 6].

There remain, however, many different aspects of the fractional superstrings which still need to be investigated. While some of these will be necessary for proving the ultimate consistency of these theories, others will be important for uncovering the intrinsically new physics these theories contain. These different issues manifest themselves in the worldsheet physics, in the spacetime physics, and in the mapping between the two.

On the worldsheet, for example, it will be necessary to have a more complete understanding of the two-dimensional fractional superconformal symmetries and algebras which are the fundamental worldsheet building blocks of the fractional superstrings. Since these algebras involve fields which have fractional spin, the field-operator product expansions which comprise these algebras contain *cuts* rather than simple poles, and thus these algebras are necessarily non-local (as well as non-linear). Most of the difficulty in dealing with these algebras stems from this simple fact. For example, one important issue which has yet to be resolved is the fractional superghost system – one will need to understand the ghosts arising from fractional superconformal gauge-fixing in order to “properly” derive the critical dimension D_c from conformal anomaly cancellation and thereby demonstrate the consistency of this aspect of the fractional superstring. This non-linearity of the fractionally-supersymmetric boson plus parafermion (X, ϵ) theory may also be the key to many of the intrinsically *new* features of these strings. For example, it is tacitly assumed in the fractional superstring construction that one can start with a single such (X, ϵ) theory corresponding to one given spacetime dimension, and then simply tensor together D_c copies of this theory to produce the full D_c -dimensional worldsheet theory; certainly this would be the straightforward generalization of the bosonic and superstring constructions. However, each individual (X, ϵ) theory is non-linear and non-local, while a tensor product is necessarily a linear, local combination (*i.e.*, parafermions corresponding to different dimensions in the tensor product do not “feel” each other in a non-local way, while those corresponding to the same dimension do). Whether such a straightforward tensor-product construction can produce a fully self-consistent Lorentz-invariant theory remains to be seen [4].

A similar situation exists for the spacetime physics of the fractional superstring. Here too there are a variety of issues which still must be examined in order to prove the physical consistency of the fractional superstring (*e.g.*, one must develop formalisms for calculating scattering amplitudes and demonstrating unitarity, as well as, eventually, for *bona-fide* model-building and examining the resulting spacetime phenomenologies [2, 6]), but once again there are intrinsically new features which appear and require closer analysis. One of these issues is spacetime Lorentz invariance: while it appears that certain “sectors” of the theory are fully consistent with Lorentz invariance, other new Planck-scale sectors may be invariant only under *subgroups* of the Lorentz group [2, 3]. This issue is undoubtedly the spacetime reflection of the worldsheet tensor-product issue discussed above, and whether the appearance of such new sectors indicates a Planck-scale violation of Lorentz invariance (which in itself is not ruled out by experiment) or a highly non-trivial self-induced spacetime compactification remains to be seen. These additional sectors also appear to contain Planck-scale particles which seem to violate the spin-statistics connection [2, 3]. Properly interpreting these states and their roles in spacetime physics will be an important endeavor.

Finally, there are various outstanding issues concerning the *mapping* between

the worldsheet theory and the spacetime physics. In order to map between these different spaces, one starts with a given worldsheet conformal-field-theory vacuum and examines the entire Fock space of excitations on that vacuum; those states in the Fock space satisfying certain physical-state conditions are then interpreted as particles in spacetime. For the fractional superstrings, it is still an open question as to what the complete set of physical-state conditions are: although a subset of these conditions are known [4], there are many indications that further conditions having no analogues in the ordinary string theories remain to be found. It will also then be necessary to develop a no-ghost theorem for the fractional-superstring Fock space – *i.e.*, to demonstrate that all of the states in the resulting space have non-negative norm. Additionally, there are various “projections” which occur in the fractional-superstring Fock space and which need to be more adequately understood. While some of these projections are analogous to the ordinary GSO projection which removes tachyonic states from the spacetime spectrum of the superstring, other so-called “internal projections” have no analogue in the superstring and turn out to be responsible for removing exponentially large numbers of states from the theory (thereby changing its Hagedorn temperature and effective central charge) [3, 4, 5].

Thus, while the fractional superstring has seen many successes, it also provides an arena in which many qualitatively new issues can and must be explored. Work in all of these areas is actively being pursued.

2 Fractional Superstrings: Some Details

In order to be more specific concerning some of these outstanding issues, we must first examine these fractional superstring theories in more detail. In this section, therefore, we shall provide a short tutorial in the fractional-superstring fundamentals, examining the construction of the fractional-superstring worldsheet theories, their corresponding partition functions, and the resulting spacetime physics.

2.1 Constructing the Worldsheet Theory

Before discussing the worldsheet theory of the fractional superstring, it proves instructive to re-examine that of the ordinary superstring (which is the $K = 2$ special case). The worldsheet fields of the superstring are of course the free spin-0 bosons X^μ and their superpartners, the free Majorana spin-1/2 fermions ψ^μ . Here $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, D_c - 1$, and we therefore have D_c copies of the fundamental supersymmetric (X, ψ) theory, one copy corresponding to each spacetime dimension. In order to fully specify this theory, however, we must choose for our fields any of four different boundary conditions around the two non-contractible cycles (spacelike and time-like, respectively) of the torus. The bosons X^μ must always have periodic/periodic boundary conditions around these two cycles (in keeping with their interpretation as spacetime coordinates), but the fermions ψ^μ , which always appear in physical

quantities through $\psi\psi$ bilinears, may have periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions. Choosing (AP) or (AA) boundary conditions for the fermions puts us in the Neveu-Schwarz sector of the theory, and all excitations in this sector correspond to spacetime bosons. Choosing (PP) or (PA) boundary conditions, however, puts us in the Ramond sector of the theory, and all excitations in this sector correspond to spacetime fermions.

It turns out that this free Majorana fermion theory which appears on the superstring worldsheet can be rewritten in a variety of ways which are useful for later generalization. One way is to think of this theory as the Ising model with central charge $c = 1/2$. The fields in the Ising model are $\{\mathbf{1}, \psi, \sigma, \sigma^\dagger\}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity with highest weight $h = 0$, ψ is the fermion with $h = 1/2$, and σ and $\sigma^\dagger$ are the spin field and its conjugate with $h = 1/16$. These four fields are, of course, linear combinations of the previous Majorana fermion with its four possible boundary conditions: indeed, the $\mathbf{1}$ and ψ fields combine to yield the Neveu-Schwarz sector, whereas the spin fields σ and $\sigma^\dagger$ combine to yield the Ramond sector. A second useful way to think of the Majorana-fermion theory is as the Kač-Moody coset theory $SU(2)_2/U(1)$: here the $SU(2)$ is taken at Kač-Moody level 2 (as indicated by the subscript), and after modding out by $U(1)$ the resulting quotient theory is what can be called a “ $\mathbf{Z}_2$ parafermion theory”. We shall describe such quotient theories in more detail in the next subsection, but the basic idea is that the fields ϕ_m^j in such a theory fall into representations labeled by quantum numbers j and m inherited from the underlying $SU(2)$ structure. For this particular theory at level 2, there are only the four fundamental fields $\{\phi_0^0, \phi_0^1, \phi_{\pm 1/2}^{1/2}\}$, and these are again in direct one-to-one correspondence with the four fields of the Ising model, with ϕ_0^0 and ϕ_0^1 identified as the $\mathbf{1}$ and ψ fields respectively, and $\phi_{\pm 1/2}^{1/2}$ identified as the spin fields. Since the contribution to the worldsheet supercurrent from the fields corresponding to any one spacetime dimension in the superstring is of course $J = \psi\partial X$, we may rewrite this in terms of the quotient theory as $J = \phi_0^1\partial X$.

Now that we have written the superstring ($K = 2$) worldsheet theory in this manner, it is straightforward to generalize to higher values of K . To construct the worldsheet theory of the fractional superstring for general $K \geq 2$, we begin with a single copy of the *fractionally*-supersymmetric (X, ϕ) theory, where ϕ now denotes the so-called “ $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion theory” – *i.e.*, the Kač-Moody coset theory $SU(2)_K/U(1)$, which will be discussed below. We then tensor together D_c copies of this theory, obtaining (X^μ, ϕ^μ) where the spacetime index $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, D_c - 1$ is understood to be contracted with the Minkowski metric. Similarly, our worldsheet *fractional* supercurrent per dimension then takes the analogous form $J \sim \phi_0^1\partial X + \dots$, where ϕ_0^1 is now a field in the general $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion theory.

2.2 $\mathbf{Z}_K$ Parafermion Theories: Basic Facts

These $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion theories, although complicated, can be easily summarized by a few simple facts. For any K , the $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion theories are conformal field theories with central charges $c = (2K - 2)/(K + 2)$, and their primary fields ϕ_m^j can be labeled and organized by their $SU(2)$ quantum numbers j and m , where $|m| \leq j$, $j - m \in \mathbf{Z}$, and where $j \geq 0$. One new feature, however, is the fact that j is also bounded from above, $j \leq K/2$, and thus these theories contain only a finite number of fundamental fields, this number growing with increasing K . The spins of these fields have an expected $j(j + 1)$ dependence, as is usual for $SU(2)$, but once again there is a novelty, an m -dependence:

$$\Delta_m^j = \frac{j(j + 1)}{K + 2} - \frac{m^2}{K} . \quad (2.1)$$

The fusion rules of these fields also resemble those of an $SU(2)$ tensor product:

$$[\phi_{m_1}^{j_1}] \otimes [\phi_{m_2}^{j_2}] = \sum_{J=|j_1-j_2|}^{J_{\max}} [\phi_{m_1+m_2}^J] , \quad (2.2)$$

except that here $J_{\max}$ is not merely $j_1 + j_2$ but rather the minimum of this quantity and $K - j_1 - j_2$. Indeed, this general new feature, this “reflection” symmetry $j \leftrightarrow K/2 - j$, allows us to consistently identify the fields $\phi_m^j = \phi_{m+K}^j = \phi_{-(K/2-m)}^{K/2-j}$ for values outside the range $|m| \leq j$.

For any value of K , there are certain fields in the $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion theory which will play a special role in the fractional superstring. The ϕ_0^0 field, as we have seen, is the identity, and will produce the Neveu-Schwarz vacuum state. The $\phi_{\pm K/4}^{K/4}$ fields, by contrast, are the analogues of the $K = 2$ spin fields, and they will correspondingly produce the Ramond vacuum state. A third special field, as we have seen, is the ϕ_0^1 field, often simply denoted ϵ . This is the energy operator in the $\mathbf{Z}_K$ theories, and is the field which appears in the supercurrent and through which Fock-space excitations take place.

These parafermion theories are of course more complicated than sketched here. In particular, as we have stated, the spins Δ_m^j are not simple half-integers, and thus the OPEs between these fields will involve branch *cuts* rather than simple poles. These theories are hence non-local on the two-dimensional worldsheet. This also implies that the parafermion mode algebras will not involve simple commutation or anticommutation relations, but rather *generalized* commutation relations. A discussion of these issues in the context of fractional superstrings, particularly for the $K = 4$ case, can be found in [4]. What makes the $K = 4$ case particularly tractable, it turns out, is the equivalence of the $\mathbf{Z}_4$ parafermion theory to that of a free boson compactified on a circle of radius $R = \sqrt{6}$. Furthermore, although these theories are non-local, the $K = 4$ theory is *abelianly braided* (i.e., involves only one type of cut in the OPEs). The $K = 8$ and $K = 16$ cases, by contrast, do not share this property.

2.3 The Critical Dimension

Our discussion of the construction of the fractional-superstring worldsheet theory indicated that we start with a single fractionally-supersymmetric (X, ϕ) theory (where ϕ indicates the $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion theory), and then tensor together D_c copies to form the full worldsheet theory. It is necessary, however, to determine the critical dimensions D_c for each value of K — *i.e.*, to derive the result quoted Eq. (1.1). The standard method for obtaining this would normally be to calculate the contribution to the conformal anomaly from the ghosts arising from fractional-superconformal gauge fixing, and then choose D_c so that $D_c c_0$ cancels this quantity [where $c_0 = 3K/(K+2)$ is the total central charge of each (X, ϕ) theory]. The problem, however, is that the fractional superghost system is not yet understood, and the central charge of this system has not yet been calculated. We therefore choose an alternative path, demanding that our resulting theory indeed be a string theory including gravity and hence containing a massless graviton.

This argument, which is a straightforward generalization of an old argument due to Brink and Nielsen, proceeds in two steps. First we calculate the vacuum energy (or intercept $-v$) of the theory. Since we know that conformal invariance requires that $v = c_{\text{eff}}/24$ where c_{eff} is the effective central charge of *propagating* degrees of freedom in the theory, and since (as usual) only the $D_c - 2$ transverse dimensions worth of states yield physically propagating states, we see that $c_{\text{eff}} = (D_c - 2)c_0$. Thus in general we have the intercept $v = (D_c - 2)c_0/24$. Our second step is to identify the graviton as a state excited from this vacuum, and then adjust v (and thereby D_c) so that this excited state is massless. For example, in the $K = 1$ bosonic string, the graviton is $X_{-1}^\mu |p\rangle_R \otimes \tilde{X}_{-1}^\nu |p\rangle_L$ where $|p\rangle_{L,R}$ indicate these left- and right-moving vacuum states and where the lowest excitation mode of the X -field increases the energy by one unit (note that the spinless X^μ -field must have integer moding). Thus the resulting graviton state will be massless if $v = 1$, and since $c_0 = 1$ for this theory, we obtain $D_c = 26$. For the $K = 2$ superstring, the situation is similar. Here the graviton state is $b_{-1/2}^\mu |p\rangle_R \otimes \tilde{b}_{-1/2}^\nu |p\rangle_L$ where the excitation operators are now modes of ψ ; since ψ has spin-1/2, it has half-integer moding and the lowest excitation adds a half-unit of energy. Thus the graviton will be massless if $v = 1/2$, and since $c_0 = 3/2$ for the (X, ψ) theory, we obtain $D_c = 10$.

The fractional-superstring case is analogous. Here the graviton state is given by $\epsilon_{-2/(K+2)}^\mu |p\rangle_R \otimes \tilde{\epsilon}_{-2/(K+2)}^\nu |p\rangle_L$, where once again the moding of the $\epsilon \equiv \phi_0^1$ field follows from its spin. This state will thus be massless if $v = 2/(K+2)$, and since $c_0 = 3K/(K+2)$, we obtain the result quoted in Eq. (1.1). Note that this set of critical dimensions also guarantees the existence of *massless* spacetime vectors (*i.e.*, gauge bosons) in the spectra of heterotic $(1, K)$ fractional superstrings, and also assures us that the chiral light-cone gauge Ramond vacuum states $\prod_{\mu=1}^{D_c-2} (\phi_{K/4}^{K/4})^\mu |p\rangle_{L,R}$ will be massless as well [since $(D_c - 2)\Delta_{K/4}^{K/4} - v = 0$]. This implies that these theories will in

general contain a massless *gravitino* state, whereupon consistency requires that they exhibit full spacetime supersymmetry. We will see below that this is indeed the case.

2.4 Partition Functions

One method of efficiently surveying the *entire* spectrum of states (rather than merely those that are massless) is to examine the one-loop partition functions $\mathcal{Z}(\tau)$ that these theories can have; indeed, $\mathcal{Z}(\tau)$ receives contributions from states at all mass levels: $\mathcal{Z} \equiv \text{Tr}(-1)^F q^H \bar{q}^{\tilde{H}}$ where τ is the torus modular parameter, $q \equiv e^{2\pi i \tau}$, and the trace is over the complete Fock space of states with (left,right) energies $(H, \tilde{H})$ and fermion number F . In order to calculate such partition functions, we must multiply the characters corresponding to each of the worldsheet fields. The character of each worldsheet boson is given by $(\sqrt{\tau_2} \eta \bar{\eta})^{-1}$ where $\tau_2 \equiv \text{Im } \tau$ and where $\eta \equiv q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)$ is the Dedekind η -function; likewise, the character of each complex (left-moving) worldsheet fermion generally takes the form ϑ/η where ϑ represents one of the four classical Jacobi ϑ_i -functions, depending on the boundary conditions of the fermion. Similarly, the character of each (left-moving) *parafermion* ϕ_m^j is given by ηc_{2m}^{2j} , where the c 's denote the level- K *string functions*; these functions are the higher- K generalizations of the Jacobi ϑ -functions, and reduce to them in the $K = 2$ special case. (Note that the super- and sub-scripts on the c 's are defined as *twice* those on the corresponding ϕ_m^j .) Since the worldsheet field content of the (K, K) fractional superstrings consists (in light-cone gauge) of $D_c - 2$ copies of the (X, ϕ) theory, their partition functions must take the forms $\mathcal{Z}_K = \tau_2^k \sum(\bar{c})^{D_c-2} (c)^{D_c-2}$ where $k = 1 - D_c/2$. Here the antiholomorphic components come from the right-moving components of the worldsheet fields, and the η -functions have cancelled between the boson and parafermion characters.

Any linear combinations of the form $\sum(c)^{D_c-2}$ which can appear in these partition functions $\mathcal{Z}_K$ must satisfy several conditions. First, if we q -expand these combinations as $\sum a_n q^n$, we must have $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$ (absence of physical tachyons) as well as $a_0 \neq 0$ for some *subset* of terms within the linear combinations (corresponding to a massless sector). We must also demand these combinations close under the S modular transformation, and that our total partition functions $\mathcal{Z}_K$ be modular invariant. Remarkably, there exists only a single unique solution satisfying all of these constraints for each value $K = 2, 4, 8$, and 16 . These solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_2 &= \tau_2^{-4} |A_2|^2 \\ \mathcal{Z}_4 &= \tau_2^{-2} \{ |A_4|^2 + 3 |B_4|^2 \} \\ \mathcal{Z}_8 &= \tau_2^{-1} \{ |A_8|^2 + |B_8|^2 + 2 |C_8|^2 \} \\ \mathcal{Z}_{16} &= \tau_2^{-1/2} \{ |A_{16}|^2 + 4 |C_{16}|^2 \} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$A_2 = [8(c_0^0)^7 (c_0^2) + 56(c_0^0)^5 (c_0^2)^3 + 56(c_0^0)^3 (c_0^2)^5 + 8(c_0^0)(c_0^2)^7] - [8(c_1^1)^8] \sim q^0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 &= [4(c_0^0 + c_0^4)^3(c_0^2) - 4(c_0^2)^4] - [4(c_2^2)^4 - 32(c_2^2)(c_2^4)^3] \sim q^0 \\
B_4 &= [8(c_0^0 + c_0^4)^2(c_2^2)(c_2^4) - 4(c_0^2)^2(c_2^2)^2] - [4(c_0^2)^2(c_2^2)^2 - 16(c_0^0 + c_0^4)(c_0^2)(c_2^4)^2] \sim q^{1/2} \\
A_8 &= [2(c_0^0 + c_0^8)(c_0^2 + c_0^6) - 2(c_0^4)^2] - [2(c_4^4)^2 - 8(c_4^6 c_4^8)] \sim q^0 \\
B_8 &= [4(c_0^0 + c_0^8)(c_4^6) - 2(c_0^4 c_4^4)] - [2(c_0^4 c_4^4) - 4(c_0^2 + c_0^6)(c_4^8)] \sim q^{1/2} \\
C_8 &= 4(c_2^2 + c_2^6)(c_2^0 + c_2^8) - 4(c_2^4)^2 \sim q^{3/4} \\
A_{16} &= [c_0^2 + c_0^{14} - c_0^8] - [c_8^8 - 2c_8^{14}] \sim q^0 \\
C_{16} &= c_4^2 + c_4^{14} - c_4^8 \sim q^{3/4} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Certain features of these partition functions can be easily interpreted. The A -terms, whose expansions begin with q^0 , contain the contributions from the massless states in these theories, and indeed we find that each of these A_K expressions contains a term $+(D_c - 2)(c_0^0)^{D_c-3}(c_0^2)$ corresponding to the massless vector state $\epsilon^\mu |p\rangle$ (recall that $\epsilon \equiv \phi_0^1$). Similarly, each A_K expression also contains a term $-(D_c - 2)(c_{K/2}^{K/2})^{D_c-2}$ corresponding to the massless fermion state (the Ramond vacuum); the minus sign indicates the spacetime statistics of this state, and once again the coefficient indicates the number of degrees of freedom appropriate for such spinors. In fact, it is straightforward to identify those terms within each A_K which correspond to spacetime bosonic or fermionic states of *any* mass. Since the bosonic Neveu-Schwarz vacuum state (respectively the fermionic Ramond vacuum state) has its m -quantum number equal to zero (respectively $K/4$) for each of the $D_c - 2$ transverse directions, and since all excitations on these vacua take place through the ϕ_0^1 field with vanishing m -quantum number, we see from the fusion rules (2.2) that *any* excited states must have the same m -quantum numbers as their underlying vacua. Indeed, since m -quantum numbers are additive modulo $K/2$ under fusion, this yields the usual boson/fermion fusions $B \otimes B = F$, $B \otimes F = F$, and $F \otimes F = B$. These observations thus enable us to split each expression A_K into its separate bosonic and fermionic components, with the first square-bracketed piece within each A_K in Eq. (2.4) arising from space-time bosons, and the second piece (preceded by an overall minus sign) arising from spacetime fermions.

Another feature concerns spacetime supersymmetry. For $K = 2$, we can re-express A_2 in terms of the equivalent ϑ -functions to find that $A_2 = \frac{1}{2}\eta^{-12}J$ where $J \equiv \vartheta_3^4 - \vartheta_2^4 - \vartheta_4^4$; thus $\mathcal{Z}_2$ is indeed recognized as the partition function of the ordinary superstring, and the Jacobi identity $J = 0$ (or $A_2 = 0$) indicates the vanishing of $\mathcal{Z}_2$ (*i.e.*, the exact level-by-level cancellation of bosonic and fermionic states). This is of course the partition-function reflection of the spacetime supersymmetry of this theory. Remarkably, however, this property extends to higher K as well, for it can be proven [3] that *each* of the combinations listed in Eq. (2.4) vanishes identically: $A_K = B_K = C_K = 0$. These resulting new identities, which are the higher- K generalizations of the $K = 2$ Jacobi identity $A_2 = 0$, can therefore be taken as evidence of spacetime supersymmetry in the *fractional* superstrings.

2.5 Open Questions: New Vacua and Internal Projections

There are, however, a number of issues concerning these partition functions which are yet to be resolved and which are undoubtedly at the heart of the intrinsically new physics of the fractional superstrings. These are, essentially, the questions of new vacuum states and of “internal projections.”

While it was straightforward to identify each term within the A_K -sectors as arising from either a Neveu-Schwarz or Ramond vacuum state, it is clear that this is not the case for the B_K or C_K sectors. Of course, these sectors have q -expansions beginning only at q^h with $h > 0$, and thus they do not contribute to massless (*i.e.*, observable) physics except through loop effects suppressed by powers of the Planck mass. Nevertheless, although such sectors do not appear in the ordinary $K = 2$ superstring case, they do appear as fundamentally new features of the fractional superstrings, and their roles (and spacetime-physics properties) are not yet understood. The states in the B_K -sectors, for example, appear to originate from “mixed” Neveu-Schwarz/Ramond vacuum states in which *half* of the transverse dimensions contribute Neveu-Schwarz vacua and the other half Ramond: $(\phi_0^0 \phi_{K/4}^{K/4})^{(D_c-2)/2} |p\rangle$. The states in the C_K -sectors, by contrast, appear to originate from vacuum states $(\phi_{K/8}^{K/8})^{D_c-2} |p\rangle$ which are “pure” (*i.e.*, the same in each transverse dimension), but of fractional spin (because the fusion of *two* such vacuum states seems necessary to produce the Ramond vacuum). One possible interpretation of the roles of these new Planck-scale states is as follows [2]. Although the states in the B_K -sectors appear to violate full D_c -dimensional Lorentz invariance (because their underlying vacua are not invariant under the permutations of all of the $D_c - 2$ transverse spacetime directions), they may well be invariant under D -dimensional *subgroups* of the Lorentz group, with $D < D_c$. The appearance of such states would then provide an intrinsically new mechanism for Lorentz symmetry breaking — *i.e.*, for spontaneous spacetime self-compactification. Indeed, in the $K = 4$ case, the form of B_4 suggests that in order for each corresponding state to be interpretable as arising from an underlying Neveu-Schwarz or Ramond vacuum, the resulting spacetime dimension D can be at most four (*i.e.*, with only *two* transverse dimensions); the two remaining string-function factors would then correspond to the internal CFT induced by the compactification. Similarly, in the $K = 8$ case, we find that D can be at most three, in which case the appearance of the fractional-spin C_8 sector would be fully consistent with the spin-statistics connection. [Note that the $K = 16$ theory, which is already has $D_c = 3$, requires (and receives) no additional compactification from any B -type sector, and the presence of a C_{16} sector is already non-problematic.] Furthermore, it turns out that such self-induced compactifications would naturally provide a mechanism for the appearance of phenomenologically necessary chiral spacetime fermions in the fractional-superstring spectra [2]. Of course, detailed analyses are necessary in order to verify these ideas.

A more concrete new issue, perhaps, is the question of the “internal projections” which arise in the $K > 2$ fractional superstrings and which are also reflected in the

above partition functions. We have already seen that we could split each expression A_K into separate (though cancelling) bosonic and fermionic components $A_K = A_K^b - A_K^f$, and while the relative minus sign *between* A_K^b and A_K^f is of course attributed to spacetime statistics [*i.e.*, to the $(-1)^F$ factor in the definition of $\mathcal{Z}$], the minus signs appearing *within* each of these components for $K > 2$ clearly cannot be understood as arising due to spacetime statistics. Rather, they must be interpreted as fundamentally new “internal” projections which, like the GSO projection, act between states of the same spacetime statistics. One might of course worry that in the individual component q -expansions $A_K^{b,f} = \sum a_n q^n$, these extra minus signs might cause some coefficients a_n to become negative (thereby ensuring that A_K^b and A_K^f could not be interpreted as the characters of bosonic or fermionic highest-weight sectors of an underlying post-projection conformal field theory). However, it has been proven [3] that *each* of these components individually satisfies the remarkable identity

$$A_K^f = (D_c - 2) \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \right)^{D_c-2} = (D_c - 2) \left[\frac{\vartheta_2(\tau)}{2\eta^3(\tau)} \right]^{(D_c-2)/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

This result thus not only guarantees that the coefficients a_n are all non-negative, but in fact indicates that the residual conformal field theories which underlie the A_K^f sectors of the fractional superstrings *after the internal projections* are (in light-cone gauge) nothing but those of $D_c - 2$ free bosons and Ramond fermions, with apparently no trace remaining of the original *para*fermions! This implies that the internal projection reduces the A -sector theory of the fractional superstrings to that of the ordinary Green-Schwarz string formulated in $D_c = 3, 4, 6$, or 10 spacetime dimensions. Now while these are of course precisely the dimensions in which the *classical* Green-Schwarz string can be formulated, it is well-known that this string is quantum-mechanically self-consistent only in 10 dimensions. Presumably, then, it is the role of the new fractional-superstring B -sectors — which appear only for $K > 2$ (or $D_c < 10$) — to restore this self-consistency and cancel the quantum anomalies. It turns out that there exist arguments [5, 7] indicating that proper splittings for the B_K -sectors are those indicated by the square-brackets within the B_K -expressions in Eq. (2.4), and indeed one finds [5] a similar remarkable identity for the B -sectors:

$$B_K^f = (D_c - 2) \left[\frac{\vartheta_2(\lambda\tau)}{2\eta^3(\tau)} \right]^{(D_c-2)/2} \quad (2.6)$$

where $\lambda = [\Delta(\epsilon)]^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(K+2)$. This implies that the internally-projected B -sectors of the fractional superstrings also resemble Green-Schwarz strings in $D_c < 10$, except with fermions formulated on *rescaled* momentum lattices; indeed, the underlying parafermionic spins now appear as the relevant scaling factors. The C -sectors, by contrast, appear to be entirely removed by the internal projections, and thus may contain no physical states of any spacetime statistics [5, 7].

The effects of these internal projections, as indicated by Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6), are quite profound. What had begun (in light-cone gauge) as $D_c - 2$ copies of a fractionally-supersymmetric boson plus $\mathbf{Z}_K$ parafermion conformal field theory with total central charge $(D_c - 2)c_0 = 48/(K + 2)$ has now been internally projected down to $D_c - 2$ copies of a boson plus (rescaled) fermion theory with total central charge $3(D_c - 2)/2 = 24/K$. Thus exponentially large numbers of states at each mass level of the Fock space are being projected out of the spectrum by these internal projections, enough to reduce the effective central charges (and thereby alter such features of these theories as their Hagedorn temperatures, which depend only on how the numbers of states diverge at infinite mass.) Indeed, these internal projections indicate that our original parafermionic Fock space is too large, and that although the fractional superstrings can be formulated in terms of these parafermionic CFTs *with internal projections*, an alternative (and smaller) CFT might exist which would more efficiently describe the residual physical states surviving the internal projections. Restoring the contributions from longitudinal and timelike directions, we see that such residual covariant CFTs would have to have total central charges $c = 24/K + 6K/(K + 2)$, and remarkably this is the spectrum of critical central charges for proposed string theories [8] based upon spin- $(K + 4)/(K + 2)$ algebras (*e.g.*, the so-called “spin-4/3 algebra” for $K = 4$, which has no linear tensor-product formulation). An important goal for the fractional-superstring theories, then, is to construct suitable representations of these algebras with precisely this spectrum of critical central charges.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Philip Argyres and Henry Tye, with whom I collaborated on much of the work described herein, for many useful discussions. I would also like to acknowledge the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics, where portions of this work were completed. This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by les Fonds FCAR du Québec.

References

- [1] P.C. Argyres and S.-H.H. Tye, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 3339.
- [2] K.R. Dienes and S.-H.H. Tye, *Nucl. Phys.* **B376** (1992) 297.
- [3] P.C. Argyres, K.R. Dienes, and S.-H.H. Tye, *New Jacobi-like Identities for $\mathbf{Z}_K$ Parafermion Characters*, Cornell preprint CLNS 91/1113, McGill preprint McGill/91-37, [hep-th/9201078](#) (January 1992).
- [4] P.C. Argyres, E. Lyman, and S.-H.H. Tye, *Low-lying States of the Six-Dimensional Fractional Superstring*, Cornell preprint CLNS 91/1121, [hep-th/9205113](#) (May 1992).
- [5] P.C. Argyres and K.R. Dienes, *Towards an Unraveling of the Massive Sectors and Internal Projections of the Fractional Superstring*, Cornell preprint CLNS 92/1168, McGill preprint McGill/92-41 (to appear).
- [6] P.H. Frampton and J.T. Liu, University of North Carolina preprint IFP-440-UNC, [hep-th/9210049](#) (September 1992).
- [7] G. Cleaver and P. Rosenthal, Caltech preprint (to appear).
- [8] P.C. Argyres, A. LeClair, and S.-H.H. Tye, *Phys. Lett.* **B253** (1991) 306.

Note: Listed above are only those references concerning fractional superstrings; papers on related issues are referenced within these.